



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,606	12/28/2001	David A. Wyatt	42390.P10981	2698

8791 7590 07/02/2008  
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP  
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY  
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040

EXAMINER
----------

VO, LILIAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2195

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

07/02/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/040,606	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WYATT, DAVID A.	
	<b>Examiner</b> LILIAN VO	<b>Art Unit</b> 2195	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 March 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 – 32 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 – 32 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

### DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 – 32 are pending.

#### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1 – 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abbondanzio et al. (US 5,890,011, hereinafter Abbon) in view of Sankaranarayan et al. (US 6,799,208, hereinafter Sankaran).

4. As to **claims 1, 14**, Abbon teaches the invention as claimed including, a computer implemented method, comprising:

storing a list of physical resource objects (Fig. 3, col. 4 line 60 – col. 6 line 29);

storing a list of parent and child objects (fig. 3);

creating a tree of relationships of the parent and child objects to the physical resource object, wherein a child object of a parent object represents a resource consumer of a resource producer associated with the parent object (fig. 3, col. 3 line 66 – col. 4 line 37, 60 – col. 6 line 29, col. 5 line 53 – col. 6 line 65); and

determining a net availability of the resource producer associated with the parent object by traversing the tree of relationships and retrieving consumption information included in each child object of the tree of relationships to calculate the net availability of the resource producer (col. 3 line 65 – col. 4 line 15, col. 6 lines 1 – 36).

With respect to the limitation of determining a net availability of the resource producer associated with the parent object by traversing the tree of relationships and retrieving consumption information included in each child object of the tree of relationships to calculate the net availability of the resource producer, Abbon discloses that a bus manager which “provides a set of services utilized to detect, query, translate, and configure a hardware device that is attached to a bus dynamically...understand the specific hardware details required to perform these services...are responsible for providing information such as bus configuration and device configuration to the HRM. The HRM then stores all the bus configuration information and devices configuration information in a hierarchical tree...” (col. 3 line 65 – col. 4 line 15). One of an ordinary skill in the art would recognize that based on such disclosure Abbon’s system is capable of performing the step of retrieving consumption information included in each child object of the tree. Also, Abbon's system must have been keeping track of the consumption information of each child object in the tree and using such information in order to make a dynamic determination as whether or not the resource is available to fulfill the request (col. 6 lines 1 – 30, col. 7 lines 5 – 10). Therefore, Abbon inherently discloses the step of retrieving consumption information included in each child object of the tree of relationships to calculate the net availability of the resource producer because the information is necessary for making a

Art Unit: 2195

dynamic determination as whether or not the resource is available to fulfill the request (col. 6 lines 1 – 30, col. 7 lines 5 – 10).

Abbon teaches parent resource objects represent resource producers that including physical resource (I/O port address, I/O memory address, etc, col. 4 line 60 – col. 6 line 29) but does not specifically teach virtual resources. Sankaran teaches resource objects and management, wherein resource objects represent virtual resources (bandwidth, col. 1 lines 10 - 17) in addition to physical resource (hardware device, ports col. 4 lines 38 – 47, 65 – col. 5 line 7). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of an ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to include virtual resources into the resources represented by the parent resource objects of Abbon because this would have provided resource management and allocation to different users/applications (col. 2 lines 60 – 67, col. 4 lines 38 – 64).

5. As to **claim 2**, as modified Abbon teaches the invention as claimed including the method of claim 1, wherein storing a list of virtual resource objects includes storing an object representing system memory bandwidth (Sankaran: col. 4, lines 38-47).

6. As to **claim 3**, as modified Abbon teaches the invention as claimed including wherein storing a list of child objects includes storing an object representing a functional unit that consumes bandwidth (Sankaran: col. 4, line 65 to col. 5, line 7).

Art Unit: 2195

7. As to **claim 4**, as modified Abbon teaches the invention as claimed including, wherein storing an object representing a functional unit that consumes bandwidth includes storing an indication of the amount of bandwidth consumed (Sankaran: col. 4, line 65 to col. 5, line 7).

8. As to **claims 5 - 7**, as modified Abbon does not explicitly teach of consuming bandwidth that represents "an overlay unit", "cursor unit", and "display output unit". However, it is well known in the art at the time the invention was made to use resource as a finite quantity of computing component in the computer system representing hardware such as "an overlay unit", "cursor unit" and "display output unit", as suggested by Sankaran in col. 4, lines 38-47.

9. As to **claim 8**, as modified Abbon teaches the invention as claimed including, wherein a root of the tree represents a physical resource object (Abbon: fig. 3, Sankaran: col. 9 lines 7 – 21).

10. As to **claim 9**, as modified Abbon teaches the invention as claimed including, wherein storing a list of child objects includes storing an object representing a functional unit that consumes bandwidth (Sankaran: col. 4, line 65 to col. 5, line 7).

11. As to **claims 10 - 13**, as modified Abbon does not explicitly teach of consuming bandwidth that represents "an overlay unit", "cursor unit", "display output unit", and "local graphic memory". However, it is well known in the art at the time the invention was made to use resource as a finite quantity of computing component in the computer system representing

Art Unit: 2195

hardware such as "an overlay unit", "cursor unit", "display output unit", and "local graphic memory", as suggested by Sankaran in col. 4, lines 38-47.

12. As to **claims 15 - 16**, they are rejected on the same ground as stated in claims 3, and 5 - 8.

13. As to **claims 17 - 29**, they are rejected on the same ground as stated in claims 1 - 13 respectively.

14. As to **claims 30 - 32**, they are rejected on the same ground as stated in claims 14 - 16 respectively.

### ***Response to Arguments***

15. Applicant's arguments filed 3/21/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

Applicant argues that Abbon fails to teach or suggest the limitation of determining a net availability of the resource producer associated with the parent object by traversing the tree of relationships and retrieving consumption information included in each child object of the tree of relationships to calculate the net availability of the resource producer, (page 8 first paragraph), the examiner disagrees. Abbon discloses that a bus manager which "provides a set of services utilized to detect, query, translate, and configure a hardware device that is attached to a bus dynamically...understand the specific hardware details required to perform these services...are

Art Unit: 2195

responsible for providing information such as bus configuration and device configuration to the HRM. The HRM then stores all the bus configuration information and devices configuration information in a hierarchical tree...” (col. 3 line 65 – col. 4 line 15). One of an ordinary skill in the art would recognize that based on such disclosure Abbon’s system is capable of performing the step of retrieving consumption information included in each child object of the tree. Also, Abbon's system must have been keeping track of the consumption information of each child object in the tree and using such information in order to make a dynamic determination as whether or not the resource is available to fulfill the request (col. 6 lines 1 – 30, col. 7 lines 5 – 10). Therefore, Abbon inherently discloses the step of retrieving consumption information included in each child object of the tree of relationships to calculate the net availability of the resource producer because the information is necessary for making a dynamic determination as whether or not the resource is available to fulfill the request (col. 6 lines 1 – 30, col. 7 lines 5 – 10).

With respect to applicant’s remarks regarding the inherency, MPEP 2112 states that “Under the principles of inherency, if a prior art device, in its normal and usual operation, would necessarily perform the method claimed will be considered described in the specification for carrying out the claimed method, it can be assumed the device will inherently perform the claimed process. *In re King*, 801 F.2d 1324, 231 USPQ 136 (Fed. Cir. 1986)”.

### ***Conclusion***

16. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).



A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lilian Vo whose telephone number is 571-272-3774. The examiner can normally be reached on Thursday 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on 571-272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,606  
Art Unit: 2195

Page 9

/Meng-Ai An/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195

Lilian Vo  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2195

lv  
June 27, 2008